UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,662	11/11/2005	Dickory Rudduck	PA024 2293	
57346 TELEZYGOLO	7590 08/11/201 OGY, INC.	1	EXAMINER	
520 W. ERIE S	TREET, SUITE 100	TAOUSAKIS, ALEXANDER P		
CHICAGO, IL	00034		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/556,66	62	RUDDUCK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			ER P. TAOUSAKIS	3726				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>16 June 2011</i> .						
· ·	•	This action is n	on-final.					
3)	An election was made by the applicant in	– n response to a re	estriction requirement s	set forth during the	e interview on			
	the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.							
4)	Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
	5a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-6 and 9-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) 🔀	Claim(s) 1, 7-8 is/are rejected.							
8)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9)	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/16/2011 (2 pages). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naruse et al (5,930,881).

1. Naruse et al teach a method of assembling a component in an assembly (20) (see column 10 lines 18-30, where it discloses assembling/aligning a vehicle wheel), comprising:

Art Unit: 3726

positioning the component in the assembly (20) in accordance with a proposed positioning in the assembly (20) (see Figure 5, where it shows a vehicle wheel positioned onto a vehicle);

sensing that the component is in its correct special position in the assembly by use of sensing means (76) capable of sensing spacing relationship (see column 14 lines 41-54 and column 15 lines 35-48);

communicating information regarding said position to an information processing means (see column 14 lines 47-61).

Naruse fails to teach wherein the sensing means is positioned in said component.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the position sensors of Naruse et al on the inside of the tires (within the boundaries of the component), because it will provide additional data of the vehicle wheel, including the camber and toe angles, thereby allowing for improved wheel alignment.

7. Naruse et al teach the method of claim 1, further comprising a step of displaying information communicated to the information processing means on information display means (see column 14 lines 55-61, where it discloses displaying said information on a monitor).

Art Unit: 3726

8. Naruse et al teach the method of claim 1, wherein the information processing means is a computer (see column 14 lines 55-61).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS whose telephone number is (571)272-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/ALEXANDER P TAOUSAKIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3726